

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and following remarks, reconsideration and further examination are requested.

In the Final Rejection mailed August 3, 2005, claims 8-11 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Pozzobon et al., claims 12-15 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and claims 17-25 were allowed.

Accordingly, without acquiescing to the appropriateness of the prior art rejection issued by the Examiner, and solely to further advance prosecution of this application, by the current Amendment claims 8-11 and 16 have been cancelled and claim 12 has been rewritten in independent form.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance, with the allowed claims being 12-15 and 17-25, and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing this Amendment, the Examiner believes that any issues remain which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Toshiki SAKABAYASHI

By: 

Joseph M. Gorski
Registration No. 46,500
Attorney for Applicant

JMG/edg
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
October 19, 2005